

Project Title:

Reviewer:

Project Type: (Mark appropriate with "X") _____ On-the-ground Conservation/Restoration _____ Invasive Species _____ Applied Science _____ Outreach/Partnership organization

Eligibility - must be able to answer "yes" to each of the following:	Y/N
Does proposal address one or more of the strategies identified in the Mat-Su Salmon Habitat Partnership's Strategic Action Plan?	_____
Meets 1:1 non-federal match requirement?	_____
If applicable, the proposal includes landowner consent?	_____
*find remaining eligibility criteria in Attachement #3 on pg. 13 of RFP application and guidelines	_____

[Link to Strategic Action Plan](#)

Link to FY24 RFP Guidelines. RFP Priorities on pg. 2 and 3

1. Resource Benefits: How well the proposed approach serves to protect, restore, or enhance Matanuska-Susitna fish habitats and fish species as specified in the Strategic Action Plan. (50 points)				
	Pts.	Scoring Guidance	Score	Comments
A) Project addresses specific priority objective or data gap identified in the RFP or addresses potential threats and incorporates conservation strategies identified in the Mat-Su Salmon Partnership Strategic Action Plan Addendum.	20	Addresses high priority objective or data gap identified in RFP = 20pts; Addresses medium priority objective identified in RFP = 15pts; Addresses lower priority objectives identified in Strategic Action Plan = 10pts; Does not address conservation strategies in Strategic Action Plan = ineligible.		
B) Describes collaboration and coordination with partners and the need for the project in relation to other projects and plans.	15	High collaboration and relation to other plans= 15 points; Medium = 10; low = 5 points; no coordination or collaboration = 0		
C) Identifies specific species benefited, short term and long term benefits for salmon and their habitat.	10	Benefits salmon and their ecosystem = 10pts; Benefits only salmon = 5 points		
D) Indicates number of impacted acres, miles of stream or shore line or other quantifiable measures. If outreach or assessment project, indicates relevant measurable outcomes from the project and how they inform conservation or restoration actions.	5	Present = 5 points; absent = 0 points		
2. Technical Merit (35 points)				
	Pts.	Scoring Guidance	Score	Comments
E) Project design and methodology demonstrates sound technical merit.	12	High technical merit= 12 points; Medium = 6 ; low = 3 points; no technical merit = 0		
F) Describes clear obtainable means to achieve goals, objectives, and conservation strategies including project implementation steps and tasks within proposed timelines.	8	Clear description including timeline, end date; implementation steps = 8 points, timeline only = 4 points; no information = 0 points		
G) Includes monitoring plan comparing pre and post project results and maintenance activities that allows for effective evaluation of project success.	5	Monitoring plan included = 5 points; missing = 0 points		
H) Applicant identifies key personnel and demonstrates the ability to successfully complete projects (provide examples of past experience).	5	Key personnel and experience provided = 5 points; missing = 0 points		
I) Outreach - describes outreach activities	5	Outreach activities described = 5 points; missing = 0 points		
3. Budget (15 points)				
	Pts.	Scoring Guidance	Score	Comments
J) Describes overall budget and budget items are appropriate for the project. Are the costs frugal.	5	Budget included and costs are appropriate = 5 points; budget included = 3 points; cost concerns = 0 points		
K) Includes non-federal and/or federal support with matching or leveraged funds/ in-kind services. Proposal indicates the cost-share ratio.	5	5 points for ≥3:1 cost share ratio; 2.5 points for ≥2:1; 1 points for 1:1 cost share.		
4. Timeline for completion (5 points)				
	Pts.	Scoring Guidance	Score	Comments
L) Describes the start and end dates for the project, clear obtainable means to achieve goals and timeline. Project (or at least first phase) has a high probability of being completed within 1 to 2 year timeline.	5	Project completion within two years, with clear achievable goals and timeline = 5 points; start and end dates with clear means to achieve goals = 2.5 points; start and end dates = 1 points		
Total	100	Reviewers Score:	0	