

Project Title:

Reviewer:

Project Type: (Mark appropriate with "X") \_\_\_\_\_ On-the-ground Conservation/Restoration \_\_\_\_\_ Invasive Species \_\_\_\_\_ Applied Science \_\_\_\_\_ Outreach/Partnership organization

Eligibility - must be able to answer "yes" to each of the following:	Y/N
Does proposal address one or more of the strategies identified in the Mat-Su Salmon Habitat Partnership's Strategic Action Plan _____	
If applicable, the proposal includes landowner consent? _____	

1. Resource Benefits: How well the proposed approach serves to protect, restore, or enhance Matanuska-Susitna fish habitats and fish species as specified in the Strategic Action Plan. (50 points)				
	Pts.	Scoring Guidance	Score	Comments
<b>A)</b> Project addresses specific priority objective or data gap identified in the RFP or addresses potential threats and incorporates conservation strategies identified in the Mat-Su Salmon Partnership Strategic Action Plan Addendum.	20	Addresses high priority objective or data gap identified in RFP = 20pts; Addresses medium priority objective identified in RFP = 15pts; Addresses lower priority objectives identified in Strategic Action Plan = 10pts; Does not address conservation strategies in Strategic Action Plan = ineligible.		
<b>B)</b> Describes collaboration and coordination with partners and the need for the project in relation to other projects and plans.	15	High collaboration/coordination= 15 points; Medium = 10 ; low = 5 points; no coordination or collaboration = 0		
<b>C)</b> Identifies specific species benefited, short term and long term benefits for salmon and their habitat, and	10	Benefits salmon and their ecosystem = 10pts; Benefits only salmon = 5 points		
<b>D)</b> Indicates number of impacted acres, miles of stream or shore line or other quantifiable measures. If outreach or assessment project, indicates relevant measurable outcomes from the project and how they inform conservation or restoration actions.	5	Present = 5 points; absent = 0 points		
2. Technical Merit (30 points)				
	Pts.	Scoring Guidance	Score	Comments
<b>E)</b> Project design and methodology demonstrates sound technical merit.	10	High technical merit= 10 points; Medium = 6 ; low = 3 points; no technical merit = 0		
<b>F)</b> Describes clear obtainable means to achieve goals, objectives, and conservation strategies including project implementation steps and tasks within proposed timelines.	5	Clear description including timeline, end date; implementation steps = 5 points, timeline only = 2 points; no information = 0 points		
<b>G)</b> Includes monitoring plan comparing pre and post project results and maintenance activities that allows for effective evaluation of project success.	5	Monitoring plan included = 5 points; missing = 0 points		
<b>H)</b> Applicant identifies key personnel and demonstrates the ability to successfully complete projects (provide examples of past experience).	5	Key personnel and experience provided = 5 points; missing = 0 points		
<b>I)</b> Outreach - describes outreach activities	5	Outreach activities described = 5 points; missing = 0 points		
3. Budget (5 points)				
	Pts.	Scoring Guidance	Score	Comments
<b>J)</b> Describes overall budget and budget items are appropriate for the project. Are the costs frugal.	5	Budget included and costs are appropriate = 5 points; budget included = 3 points; cost concerns = 0 points		
<b>Total</b>	<b>85</b>	Reviewers Score:		

Note: this score sheet and criteria incorporates NFHP Minimum Benchmarks Guidance for Project Selection , National Conservation Strategies , and Evaluating Fish Habitat Partnership Performance 2013 documents as well as to the best of our interpretation guidance under the 2020 ACE Act.